#### **2015 Upgrades to the GFDL Hurricane Model**

Morris A. Bender, Matthew Morin, and Timothy Marchok (GFDL/NOAA) Isaac Ginis and Biju Thomas (University of Rhode Island) Robert E. Tuleya (Old Dominion University)

> EMC/NCP CCB Review Wednesday, April 15<sup>th</sup>, 2015

# **Summary of Proposed Upgrades**

- Upgraded version of GFS
- Increase of vertical sigma levels from 42 to 60
   Similar Configuration to HWRF levels
- Improved Initialization of Moisture Field (r)
- Using improved moisture specification: reintroduction of Vortex Specification for all storms (e.g. TD, and weak TS) except Nameless systems
- New Specification of Storm size (Rb)
- Modified filter depth in vortex specification (Tested, but rejected due to unfavorable impact)
- Correct Specification of Ocean Currents in Surface flux computation
- Bug Fix in GFDL coupler

### **VERTICAL LEVEL CONFIGURATION**



# Improved Moisture Initialization

### **Current Scheme :**

(U, V, T, r, p\*) = (U, V, T, r, p\*)<sub>Envr</sub> + (U, V, T, r, p\*)<sub>axi-sym vortex</sub>
r<sub>axi-sym vortex</sub> defined with respect to the Environmental moisture field
(Environment is determined by moisture field outside the filter radius)
Lead to Unrealistic drying in middle troposphere
 (Limited RI for weak, developing systems)

Revised Scheme :  $(U, V, T, p^*) = (U, V, T, p^*)_{Envr} + (U, V, T, p^*)_{axi-sym vortex}$   $r = r_{gfs} + r_{vortex}$ More realistic Initial Moisture lead to significantly Improved Intensification in RI situations

#### Impact of Improved Moisture Initialization Hurricane Earl (Initial time: 0000 UTC 27 August, 2010)

#### **OLD MOISTURE INITIALIZATION**



#### **NEW MOISTURE INITIALIZATION**

# Impact of Improved Moisture Initialization



HURRICANE EARL (INITIAL TIME: 000 UTC 27 AUGUST, 2010)

### Formulation of New Storm Size (R<sub>b</sub>)

#### (radius where the tangential wind of specified vortex goes to 0)

In current vortex initialization we assume  $R_b$  is a simple function of the Radius of the Last closed Isobar (RLCI) from the tcvitals file ( $R_b$  = 1.5 \* RLCI)

Assume the Absolute Angular Momentum M(r)  $M(r) = rv + \frac{1}{2}$  f r<sup>2</sup> is roughly conserved for A parcel of air moving radially inwardly toward the storm center  $V(r)_{tan} = \frac{M(p)}{r^x} - \frac{1}{2}$  f r Carr and Elsberry, MWR (1997) Where: (x = .4)  $M(p) = M(r)/r^{(1-x)}$   $M(p) = \frac{1}{2}f(R_b)^{(1+x)}$  Assuming  $R_b$  = Radius where tangential wind vanishes The Absolute Angular Momentum (M<sub>rulo</sub>) at the radius of Gale winds

The Absolute Angular Momentum ( $M_{gale}$ ) at the radius of Gale winds can be determined from the tcvitals :

 $M(r)_{gale} = r_{gale} v_{gale} + \frac{1}{2} f r_{gale}^{2}$  $MLG = \log \left(2(M(r))_{gale} / f r_{gale}^{(1-x)}\right)$ 

 $r_{gale}$  averaged sum of radli of gale winds  $v_{gale}$  at each of the 4 storm quadrants

New Estimate for  $R_b$ :  $(R_b) = e^{(MLG/(1 + x))}$ 



### Performance Evaluation of Upgraded Model with Previous GFS



### 2014 Atlantic Season with 2014 GFS

#### TRACK ERROR (NM) INTENSITY ERROR (KNOTS)



2-5 Days for Both Upgraded Models New Models Comparable to GFS INTENSITY PERFORMANCE MIXED 42 LEVEL IMPROVED EARLY TIME 60 LEVEL IMPROVED LATER TIME

### **Performance Evaluation With New GFS**



# Impact Only of GFS Upgrade in Atlantic

#### **TRACK ERROR (NM)**

#### **INTENSITY ERROR (KNOTS)**



New GFS Degrades GFDL degraded both track and intensity skill by 6% at days 1-2 Track (12%) and Intensity (10%) Skill <u>Significantly</u> degraded days 3-5

### 2011, 2012, 2014 Atlantic Seasons with New GFS TRACK ERROR (NM) INTENSITY ERROR (KNOTS)



### 60 Level Model performed slightly better at days 4-5

42 and 60 level models had 5 % and 6% reduced track error through day 3, compared to Current GFDL model 2011, 2012, 2014 ATLANTIC SEASONS (NEW GFS) NUMBER OF CASES: (589, 546, 506, 469, 397, 326, 260) ERROR (KNOTS) 13 NTENSITY 12 **OPERATIONAL GFDL** 42 LEVEL UPGRADED GFDL 60 LEVEL UPGRADED GFDL 912 24 96 36 120 FORECAST HOUR

42 Level Model performed better then 60 Level Model through 48 hours. (10% reduced intensity error compared to current model) 60 Level Model performed best for 4-5 Days (11% reduced intensity error)

### **2014 EAST PACIFIC SEASON**

#### **TRACK ERROR (NM)**

#### **INTENSITY ERROR (KNOTS)**



# Comparison of Intensity Bias with New GFS

#### **Atlantic Bias (Knots)**

#### **Eastern Pacific Bias (Knots)**





# 2008 and 2010 Storms Run With Old GFS

(Ike, Danielle, Earl, Igor, Julia) 2011 and 2014 used new GFS (Irene, Katia, Edouard, Gonzalo) Results Suggest 60 Level Model has Excessive Negative Bias for Intense Hurricanes



### Hurricane Gonzalo (0000 UTC 13 October)



\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$

\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$

### Hurricane Earl (0000 UTC 29 August, 2010)

200







# 60 Level Model



### Hurricane Earl (0000 29 August, 2010)



### **Complete 2011, 2012 and 2014 Atlantic Seasons with New GFS for Late Guidance**

#### **TRACK ERROR (NM)**

#### **INTENSITY ERROR (KNOTS)**



model Days 1-3

# Comparison of Previous Operational System with new GFDL and GFS upgraded System

#### **TRACK ERROR (NM)**



#### **INTENSITY ERROR (KNOTS)**



#### Improved Guidance through 48h (4%) Neutral day 3 Degraded Guidance (11%) Days 4-5

Improved Guidance through 48h (5%) Neutral day 3 Degraded Guidance (9%) Days <u>4</u>-5

# Complete 2011, 2012 and 2014 Atlantic Seasons (Early Guidance)

#### **TRACK ERROR (NM)**

#### **INTENSITY ERROR (KNOTS)**



Early Guidance Improvements are similar to Late Guidance Comparing Upgraded GFDL with Current Model using New GFS

### **Eastern Pacific with Early Guidance**



**Days 1-4** 

60% forecasts improved for days 3-5

23

### Summary of Intensity Bias with New GFS

#### **Atlantic Bias (Knots)**

#### **Eastern Pacific Bias (Knots)**



Reduced negative bias in both ocean basins at 1-3 Days Lead Times Some Positive Bias Later Forecast Times 24

#### **GFDL/HWRF Track Comparison Atlantic East Pacific**



comparable to HWRF all forecast times

# GFDL/HWRF Intensity Comparison Atlantic East Pacific



2-Model Ensemble errors 5% less then HWRF days 1-2. Comparable to HWRF days 3-5

## 2-Model Ensemble errors average 7% less then either HWRF or GFDL model

# GFDL/HWRF Intensity Bias Comparison

### Atlantic

### **East Pacific**



2-Model Ensemble much less overall bias then either GFDL or HWRF

#### 2014 vs 2015 Operational Guidance of Atlantic RI



### 2014 vs 2015 Operational Guidance of EPAC RI



# **Future Plans**

- Address Negative Bias in 60 level GFDL model for intense hurricanes, by:
- 1. Evaluating impact of GFS enhanced PBL turbulence mixing in stratocumulus regions
- 2. Evaluating different distributions of vertical levels (e.g., reduced number in outflow and more in mid-levels)
- Study could have benefit of reducing negative bias in 60 level HWRF in RI and intense hurricanes

# Summary of GFDL upgrades

- GFDL model upgrade demonstrates improved track and intensity guidance with both old and upgraded version of GFS for Atlantic and Eastern Pacific Hurricane Seasons for both the 42 and 60 level models.
- Upgraded version with increased vertical resolution (60 vertical levels) performed well in multi-year Atlantic sample dominated with weaker storms.
- For Major hurricanes 60 level version had large negative bias and degraded tracks at later times.
- 42 Level Upgraded Model is being recommended for 2015 implementation while a more optimal distribution of increased vertical levels is being evaluated for future upgrades and potentially as part of 2015 GFDL ensemble system.

#### **ENDOSEMENT FROM THE NATIONAL HURRICANE CENTER**

The National Hurricane Center (NHC) endorses the proposed implementation of the GFDL Hurricane Model for 2015. Retrospective runs of this model for a large number of cases from the 2011, 2012, and 2014 hurricane seasons showed a significant reduction, in comparison to the current operational version of the model, in the intensity forecast error of 10-11 % in the Atlantic basin. Also, there was a considerable reduction of a negative bias of intensity forecasts in both the Atlantic and eastern North Pacific. In this regard the intensity bias was reduced to nearly zero in the critical 1-3 day time range in the Atlantic. The impact on track forecasts was largely neutral to slightly positive, except at days 4-5 for the east Pacific where the improvements were more substantial - and on the order of 12%.

The NHC looks forward to having these improvements to our numerical guidance for TC prediction for the upcoming hurricane season. Sincerely, Dr. Richard J. Pasch Senior Hurricane Specialist

National Hurricane Center/NOAA 32